G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

1898
~~191~~
(G.F.)

File No. 38

Serial Nos. 1-15

Later references.

Pr 46/97

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Considered and Ord

Serial Nos. 1 to 15

Jr. Part B for October 1901

SUBJECT.

Refund of assessment claimed by certain Kodagi Inamdars in the Bovigaum Village Bowringpet Taluk

To The Dy Commissioner Kolar Dist
" Comptroller, Mysore Govt
No H 547-8 of 5.10.01.

Sanctions the refund bill for Rs 69-2-0 in favour of Kodagidars of the Bovigaum village and forwards the same to the Comptroller for audit.

W D 1851—GPB—20,000—13-12-13

Kirza Nazeer Hussain

1 Dewans

~~SECRETARIES~~ OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT.

Refund of assessment demanded by certain Kodagu Inamdars in the Oorugaum village, Bowringpet Taluk —

LAST REFERENCE

Letter No. 5193/1092 dated 17th June 1899 from the Deputy Commr, Kolar Dt.

Maps Nos. 401 and 403 — old extent 15 pagodas and former assessment Rs. 10-5-1 — of the Oorigaum village Bowringpet Taluk, were heretofore enjoyed as Kodagu Inams granted about 100 years ago for the upkeep of the Toobukere Kodagi Tank in the same village. The Inamdars concerned were encroaching upon Govt lands under the tank from time to time

and in the year Bhava 1874-76) the whole extent of the lands as then found to be in their enjoyment was fully assessed owing to the discovery of the Kodagi tank. Even after this, more land was being encroached upon year after year. In the year 1890, the Inam department, however confirmed mage nos. 40 and 403 measuring 15 Tadas to the marginally named persons, as Personal Inam to be enjoyed in hereditary succession on payment of ½ quit rent, and the Supdt of Inam Settlements issued an endorsement No. 285 of 14-11-90 to the above effect. to Shanbogue Venkatramanaiya Patil Yellamma

Up to Survey Settlement in 1890-91, a large extent of

vide papers nos (22) 2021 is deposited given by Shanbogue Venkatramanaiah of Adrigam before the Amildar, under date 28th Dec 1898

Again in Bramhi further encroachment was discovered & the same assessed.

1. Rangammadamaiah
2. Kitchamma.
3. Venkatigowda
4. Ramareddi.
5. Venkatramana.
6. Kistnappa.

24

land had been encroached upon by the Inamdars. So that at the time of Survey the area of maji nos 401 and 403 was 7 acres - 31 guntas, which were assessed at Rs. 39 - 4 - 0 as shewn below: —

Patti
A - 8 1/2 -

Maji no.	Survey No.	Area as per Survey	Assessment.	Name of holder —
		A G.	Rs As.	
Part-of 401 } " of 403 }	169	1 — 2	6 — 0	Ramareddi, Venkatigowda & co
" of 403	171	2 — 36	17 — 0	Do — Do —
" of 403	172	0 — 8	1 — 0	Gowdappa
" of 403	173	1 — 17	8 — 8	Ramareddi Venkate gowda
" of 403	174	0 — 38	5 — 8	Venkataramanaya, Kuruvappa & others.
" of 401	184 Part-	0 — 10	1 — 4	Kaki Papa
		6 — 31	39 — 4	

Relying upon the endorsement given to them by the Supdt of Inam Settlements Shanbogue Venkataramanaya and Yellamma petitioned the Taluk Amildar and the Dy Commr praying

for the remission of the
full assessment levied on
their Kodagu Inam lands
and the refund of the amount
paid by them in excess
of the quit-rent fixed
by the Inam Deptt, from
the year 1874-75 Under
the orders of the Deputy × Papers
Commr the Amildar Nº 296 & 19-2-96.
made a reference to the
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements pointing out
that the extent of the
lands in the enjoyment
of the Inamdars was
much larger than
the old recorded extent
and soliciting instructions
as to whether land equivalent
to the old extent
only might be confirmed
as Inam, the rest being
fully assessed. or whether
the whole extent as per

× Nº 18 & 12·7·96

Survey might be treated as Inam. In reply, the Supdt of Inam Settlement stated that as the Kodagu Inams had been conferred on half-quit-rent to be enjoyed in hereditary succession, the same might be treated as Inam, that as regards the Survey area being more than the old extent the question would be settled along with other similar cases in the Taluk when the the excess area would be brought under full assessment and that till then the ~~old extent~~ Inam might be continued according to Mamul enjoyment. Accordingly in the accounts the lands were treated as Inam from the year Durmukhi (1896-97) and

⊗. No 58 & 24.7.96.
no 4

No 405 & 12.10.98

the Amldar submitted to the Dy Collr a return bill for Rs. 752-4-11 being the amount of back assessment minus the amount of quit-rent Local cess &c. paid by the Inamdars

The Dy Collr states "that as the recovery of "Kandayam on the extent clandestinely enjoyed as "Inam seems to be proper and as the levy of Khadeat will not take a retrospective effect Some authoritative ruling may be passed for dealing with such questions hereafter

Letter No. 3152/796 & 26/2/99.

"The Extent involved in the Case is very great

Supposing the Inam Rights as at present continues for another 5 or 10 years & then it is decided that the Inamdars should be given only a portion the Survey area & the rest treated as Govt assessed land is Khalsa rights to be levied a

as the Dr Sum states, wh
retrospective effect ? If so
why should there be
any refund now
until it is known clea
how much should be
treated as income, the
question of refund can
not it seems be fina
dealt with.
The quit rent is entered
as 4 annas in the
Kannada refund bill - it
is doubtful if this represe
½ quit rent " as revis
by the Inam Instt

May this subject
of Rev sue be reported
to State once for all
in this case —
1) How much should be
given as income
2) What is the sue: cause
there of.
3) How much should
be levied as quit
4) How what Dep
should such a se
be enforced
5) How much should
be treated as suits
6) Is the Claim for

Upon allowable - If so 8
& what Extent under
the Circumstances of
the Case
Submitted Secretary
for orders
M
Wellesley

Claim of the Dacca Commissioners
to the
T.E. Dn formed a

R.D. 7/8.
27/7/99
24

Sd
99

yes ask the superintendent by all means
for me it looks
absurd that the claim
for refund from 1874-75
should even be entertained
why is there delay in
declaring the Extent of
inam allowable
are any boundaries
given in the Deed
Otherwise nothing
can be simpler that declaring
that the Inamdar
shall have the Zemindari
of 15 villages & how much
is Sovereign done

Sd
26/8/99
99 Grain
M 26/8/99

Read
28/8

Draft Sub d
&
29.8.99

Y the letter from
interested
Gopalkrishna Rao
and Anjaneya
Aiyangar

(Note in Continuation)

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements. who was addressed in the matter, replies as follows to the several queries

No. 2049 & 672 dt. 6.9.99
No. 25 dt. 19-9-99

Query.

(1) How much should be assigned as Inam and how much treated as Sirkar?

Answer.

Under Rules, 35 guntas of land will have to be confirmed as Inam, and 5-36 A. G. will be treated as Khalsat

(2) What is the Survey assessment of each?

The Survey assessment is 5 Rupees for the Inam and 31 Rs.-4 as for Khalsat land.

(3) What quit rent should be levied?

Fowramas

(4) From what date should such a Settlement be enforced?

1880-81 being the year in which the case was first revised

Answer

as per late Chief Commissioners Procg: No 9620 ⊗ A·43
Dated 20 Decr 1876.

Yes the amount allowable as Inam viz Rs 5/-

No boundaries are given in the accounts on which Case was Settled and the Inam must be Settled according to the rules

The result of the final Settlement of the Kodagi Inams as now reported by the Superintendent of Inam Settlements, is that out of the full assessment of Rs. 39-4-0 on the 6A-31 guntas of land, the assessment of Rs. 5/- on 35 guntas to be confirmed as Inam ~~mmm~~ 4 annas on account of quit-rent payable thereon has to be refunded to the

10 Query

Page 56 of the Revenue Manual

(5) Is the claim for refund allowable? If So, to what extent under the circumstances of the Case

(6) Whether any boundaries of the Inam land are given in the original grant? If not, why should not it be declared that the Inamdars shall have the equivalent of 16 padies and no more.

11

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Kodagidars with effect from the year 1880-81 to the end of 1895-96. If approved, the Dy Commr may be asked to submit a revised refund bill.

Mohammed
Secretary to
Govt
Orders
30/9/99

Dy Commr Coorg
Vide Revenue Dept
Letter No. 2. Dated
30th Sept 1899
please submit
a revised refund
bill.

6/10/99

Sub Asst Commr
Per Councillor
Eastern Division

6/10/99

ND. 9/10/99
Mysore
304

Orders may issue
accordingly

Mohammed Ali
7/10/99

Secy to G
31-10-99

Rec 3/11/99 A.M.
4 to 5 holidays

Draft subd
11.11.99.

P.T.O

Any reply
18/4/00

18.4.00

2

Ver: Records refers if any

17/4/00

19.4.01

(1) Two Rs stamp affixed – stamp suffi

(2) The order complained of has not been produced.

Petn to be returned for reason(s)

Mall
22.4.00

Endt on petn
Dtd. 24.4.00

25-4-1900

2

S.7.38-98

189 00 Sl 7

No. 935

Name of Petitioner Venkatamma & Chambhog & o

Village Boriga

Taluk Bowringpet

District Kolar.

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 2 – 4 – 1900

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

State that in the ghadali chitta of the year Siddarthi the Khodage inams of the Inamdars of Boriga village are numbered 401 & 403 having boundaries according to the previous Kymashi accounts and ~~measuring~~ having an Extent of one Candy 8 Khoo bandies and 3 padies. That ~~where~~ the inam lands within the same boundaries as at were ordered to be measured and different Khatas issued to the different inamdars.

the year 1873 and the 1/3 of the land measured 3 Candis 6 Cawris 2 Kalis and over paid and the assessment of Rs 4 0 12 5 and at the time of Survey Inquiry The lands (inams) under enjoyment as per the boundaries of the Chitta book; measured 6 acres and 31 Guntas and were assessed Rs 39-4-0, which tally with the previous measurement and assessment; that on this petitioner saying that the lands may be continued to them as inams and the assessment reduced they were referred to the Inam Supt who ordered, (and gave them also an Inst, to the effect) that the inams should be continued for ever, after assessing 1/2 the quit rent on these Lands; that the taluk authorities on the receipt of the above order asked the Inam Supt whether the order affects only the 15 Kalis of land as mentioned in the Kaifiyat or to the whole of the 6 acres 31 Guntas under enjoyment upon present, for which the Inam Supt ordered that the whole of the land under enjoyment this

Petn No. 1935 of 1899-1900.

re Considered as inam at present and that it would be disposed of along with the other inam lands in the taluk that accordingly khatas were issued & the Kandayam re-duced; that the petnr then prayed for the refund of the Kandayam paid by them for the previous years; that the taluk authorities submitted a statement shewing the amount of Kandayam paid by them since the year Khara till the year when the lands were declared inams, and the amount that is to be refunded to them after deducting the suit rent, irrigation cess &c; that on petitioning to this office enclosing the above order &c, a detailed report was called for from the inam office, which (report) contains the heads, the following: that the Kodagi inamdar of the said tank, measures 30 guntas & is assessed Rs 5; that the remaining lands also come under khatas [illegible] that the land was not [illegible] -marked; that the

- ment took place in the year 1880-81; & that refund may be made only as regards the inam lands — ; that he hears that a separate refund bill is also being prepared. that the Inam Supdt has given two contrary opinions with regard to the same subject, which is quite unjust; that they are not given any hints to their pleas to the Inam Supdt & the Hg. Commr. in the matter; that no inamdi in the taluk has come under khalsa as yet. So, this land may also be brought under khalsa along with other inams

Pray, after the perusal of all the records, for the refund of the Kandayam paid by them since the year Khara.

SRM
17/4/900.

15

Refer
4.7.00
1935 of 29 Rolls of the Court

25-7

Subd. The Honble Court's proceedings does not quote the number or date of the reference made by Govt.

8.7.00

The Govt vide their reference to report the No & date of the reference to Govt

31/7/00

No. 1.8.00
Drafted
2-8-00

16

1900 C.7.38-98

No. 159

Name of Petitioner Venkataramana Shanbhag and others

Village Gariga

Taluk Bauringpet

District Kolar

Date of Arzi 24.4.00

Date of Receipt 19.7.00

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one

ABSTRACT

With reference to endorsement No 1935 dated 24.4.00, he asks to submit the original order of the Dy Comr dated 9.1.00 which is an endorsement on the petn presented to the Dy Comr to the effect that the petitioner should await the reply of Government this reference.

23-7-00

(Note in continuation)

The Dy Comr Kolar was addressed in this office No: 3590/Jl 416 dt 13/11/99 to submit a revised refund bill in accordance with the final settlement of the Kodagu Inams as reported on by the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in his letter No: 25 dt 19/9/1899. Some reminders were also issued to him on the application of the Inamdars to expedite its submission.

As a reply to Pet/80 dt 11/7/01 he now submits the revised refund bill for Rs 69-2-0 prepared according to the instructions of the Supdt of Inam settlement sent to him with this office no: 3590/Jl 416 dt 13/11/99, and states as follows:—

One Venkataravanah, one of the Kodagidars, petitioned him for the refund of the full assessment paid by them for 6 ac - 31 g of land as per survey minus the prescribed quitrent on the following grounds:—

1) That the Survey area of Inam

Inam @ 6-3½ cawlies within the extent shown in the Sidhanti Chitta

2). That, as the Kodagi Inam is surrounded by different holdings on all sides, there was no room to encroach upon Govt land

3). That Other Kodagidars who were similarly enjoying inamati in excess of the extent shown in the title deeds had the fortune of recovering back the full assessment minus the Settled Quitrent

That from the deposition of the petitioner Venkataravaniah taken on 28/12/98 it is seen that the Kodagidars did surreptitiously extend the Inam lands in the years 'Bhava & 'Vrisha long after the year Sidhanta and fixed Kundayam for the lands thus encroached upon

The refund bill, ~~if approved~~ may be passed. It is reasonable now Subd the Hon'ble Revenue for orders.

30/8/01

19

The refund bill may be sanctioned now.

~~if approved~~

13/2/1907

draft subd
a.s.
21/7/01

1

Gen. 31.]

189[illegible]

DEPARTM[illegible]
Gl. No. 5333
MAR 1 [illegible]
R No 2932
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From
Head Asst Commissioner
Kolar district

To
The Secretary to the Government
~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.
General and Revenue
Department.
Bangalore

Section - 9-7
File No. 38-98

Dated 26th February 1899

Despatched 28th.

Received

General No. 3152
No. 796

Enclosure No. Ver: file of papers.

Subject

Requesting that some
amendatory rules may
be passed for dealing with
the recovery of kandayam
on the extent claandestinely
enjoyed as Inam de

1.3.99

Submitted with a draft
calling for further information -

13/3/99

notice was not taken of the original extent of the manji hos which comprised the Inam and hence A. G. 6. 31 assessed at Rs: 39.4.0 have been shown as included in it

4 The Kudiyidars now claim the refund of the whole assessment minus the prescribed jodi for the previous years and the Superintendent of Inams suggests the whole extent being treated as Inam, pending the levy of Khalsat on the excess area, in the usual course

5 As the recovery of Kandayam on the extent clandestinely enjoyed as Inam seems to be proper, and as the levy of Khalsat will take a retro-active effect [illegible]

2

Deputy Commissioners office
Kolar district
Dated 26th February 99

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith for favor of perusal and kind return, correspondence ending with Bowringpett Shuldar's wuzz No 613 dated the 18th ultimo relating to the refund of Kandayam to certain Kodigidars who were paying full assessment between the years 74-75 up to 1895-96 when it was finally remitted as Inam

2 The old extent of this Inam (Jari) was 15 Padies equivalent to about 4 Gunthas assessed Rs: 0-5-1 and seems to have been enlarged in extent by surreptitious encroachments year after year. That this was so, is evidenced by the extra-amount of assessment levied during the years subsequent to 1875 and the Jaimash account rendered by the village Shanbogue — which is enclosed in the records.

3 At the time of the survey settlement,

notice

notice was not taken of the original extent of the maps Nos which comprised the Inam and hence A. G. 6. 31 assessed at Rs: 39.4. have been shown as included in it.

4 The Khodigidars now claim the refund of the whole assessment minus the prescribed fees for the previous years and the Superintendent of Inams suggests the whole extent being treated as Inam, pending the levy of Khalsat on the excess area, in the usual course.

5 As the recovery of Kandayam on the extent clandestinely enjoyed as Inam, seems to be proper, and as the levy of Khalsat will not take a retro-spective effect I beg that some authoratative ruling may be passed for dealing with such questions hereafter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

H H Garbett
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

4 Draft for a p/c

SECRETARIAT FILE COPY

To

Section 7.7
File No. 38-98
Serial No. 2

The Dy Commr
Kolar District

Dated 15/3/99
No. 6471
Rev.
7L753

Dy Commr's letter No. 3152/796 & 26th Feb: 1899 Soliciting orders in the matter of the refund, claimed by the Kodagidars of Tubakere Doddigan village, Bowringpet Taluk, of the full assessment paid by them on their Kodagi Inam lands from Fs. 74-75 to 1895-96, on the ground of their having been confirmed as personal subject to the payment of ¼ th quit rent.

With reference to the above has the honor to invite the attention of the Dy Commr

5

to Amildars report no. 405
dated 12th October 1898 &
to the refund bill &c
-mitted by him and to
request that ~~he will be~~
~~good enough to obtain &~~
~~submit for reference~~ the
Survey Supdts order No 2
therein alluded to and ~~also~~
~~quote~~ the no & date of the
said to have been
Govt order passed on the
appeal of Shanbogue Venka
-taramanaya in regard
to the treatment of the Kodage
Inams as personal on pay-
-ment of ¼th quitrent

maybe forwarded for reference

quoted

2. ~~The Collector is further~~
~~requested to report~~ when
& under what authority
the Inams were first granted
to the original Kodagidars

It is also necessary to know

3 The enclosures are returned
for reference & resubmission.

By order

VR
14/3/99

For Secretary

Refer back to 4
25995

Refer back to
HS
27/6/99 Rec'd 29/6
S.S.

Note & Subd
88 22/7/99

1

6

FROM The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue
Departments

Dated 1/6/99
Despatched 22
Received
June 1899

General No. 5173
No. 1092
Enclosure No. 1 Paper.

5-7
38-98
3

His docket No 6411-71 dated
the 15th March 1899 request-
ing the Submission of
certain additional
references regarding the
claim for rejuvenation preferred
by the Kodigidars of
Tubaki & Annepanna
Village, Bowringpet
Taluk

With reference to the
above, I have the honor
to forward herewith
Bowringpet Amildars
letter No 1068 dated

the 2nd instant explaining that the order of the survey Superintendent quoted in his No 405 dated the 12th October 1898 had been erroneously mentioned as No 2 instead of 58 dated 24th July 1896.

2. The known lands under this tank, declared at first untenable for life, seem to have been remised and enfranchised on 1/2 quit rents another original order No 285 dated the 14th November/96 issued to petitioners Lenkattanamank is herein enclosed

3. There is no information available as to when and under what terms originally the land was granted.

4. The enclosures are resubmitted.

Sd Sparks

Asst Deputy Commissioner

Redraft as per orders

9

To

The Superintendent
of Inam Settlements
in Mysore

File No 38-98
Serial No 4

Dated 6 Sept 99
No 2049 Ltr. B

R 672

In forwarding herewith copy of letter No 3152-796 dated the 26th February 1899, together with the Vernacular papers, received from the Deputy Commr, Kolar District, soliciting orders in the matter of the refund claimed by the Kodagidars of Toobukere in the Gongaum Village, Bowringpet Taluk, of

the full assessment paid 9
by them from 1874-75
to 1895-96 on their
Kodagu Inam lands
minus the quit-rent
due to Govt thereon,
on the ground that
their Inams ~~were~~ were
confirmed ~~as personal~~
~~Inams~~ by the Inam
Department as personal
Inam subject to payment
of half quit-rent, has
the honor to invite the
Superintendent's attention
to his order No 48 dated
24th July 1896, to the
Amildar of the Bowring
-pet Taluk ~~and to~~ It is
observed that under it
is decided once for all
what extent should
be

10 be confirmed as Inam, ~~and~~ the question of refund cannot be finally dealt with, as the difference between the original extent of the Inam, viz, 15 padies and the area as found after survey, viz, 6-3 A 9 is very great.

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements is, therefore requested to furnish Govt with information on the following points:—

(1) How much should be ~~given~~ assigned as Inam & how much treated as "Sirkari".

(2) What is the Survey assessment of each ~~thurrow~~ ?

(3) ~~How much~~ What quit rent should be levied ~~as quit rent~~ ?

(4) From what date should such a settlement be enforced ?

(5) ~~How much should be treated as Sirkar~~ ?

and (6) Is the claim for refund allowable? If so, to what extent under the circumstances of the case?

1.1

Regs & Enquire whether any

~~It is further observed~~

~~that if no~~ boundaries of the Inam land are given in the original grant ~~nothing can be~~ ~~more than declaring~~ that the Inamdars shall have the equivalent of 15 padies and no more ~~and that~~ the sooner it is done, the better for all interests.

and, in the absence of boundaries, why should not it be declared

By Order

M Wyllys

For Secretary.

1863
R 970

12

No 25

Bangalore dated 19th / 21st September 1899.

4-7

38-98

No 5

From.

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore,

To,

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments.

Sir

I have the honor, in reply to your docket No 2049 / R 672 without date, to report as follows regarding the Ko-dgi Inam of Subukere tank in the Oorigum village, Bowringpet Talook.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 1863
SEP 20 899
No. 970.

1899-1900

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore. General
and Revenue Department

Dated 19th }
Despatched 21st } September 1897
Received

No. 25. of 1899-1900

Enclosure No. one file

Submits report regarding the Kodgi Inam of Tubukere Tank in the Oorigum village Bowringpet Taluk

21.9.99

General Records.

Please put up Govt Proc No 9620 R 43

20.12.76. Quoted in the

Government Proceedings no: 9620 R 430 dated 20th December 76 is a printed one, but all the spare copies exhausted. Please refer to the monthly volume, or page 27 in the selections from the Records, relating to Kodgi Inams.

annual

25/9/99.

Government query	Answer
How much should be assigned as Inam and how much treated as Sirkari?	1 Under Rules, 35 guntas of land will have to be confirmed as Inam and 5 acres and 36 guntas will be treated as khalsah.
2. What is the Survey assessment of each?	2 The Survey assessment 5 Rupees for the Inam and 34 Rupees and 4 annas for khalsah land.
3. What Quit-Rent should be levied?	3. Four annas
4. From what date should such a settlement be enforced?	4. 1880-81, being the year in which case was first revised as per late Chief Commissioners Proceedings No. 9620/R43 dated 20th December 1876,
5, Is the claim for refund allowable? If so to what extent under the circumstances of the case?	5. Yes, the amount allowable as Inam viz Rupees 5-0-0.
6 Whether any boundaries of the Inam land are given in the orginal grant? if not why should	6. No boundaries are given in the accounts on which case was settled and the Inam must

× Rev Manual Page-56.

Should not it be declared that the Inamdars shall have the equivalent of 15 padies and no more ?"	must be settled according to the Rules.

2. The Vernacular papers received with the docket under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Supt. of Inam Settlt.

By

Draft as per order.

16

To.

The Dy Commr,
Kolar District.

No. 3590. Ex 2 W 11.
H. 416

Date. 13-11-99.

13

Correspondence ending with his No. 5193/1092 dt 17th June 1899, regarding the refund of assessment claimed by certain Kodagidars in the Oorigam village, Bowringpet Taluk.

—o—

With reference to the above has the honor to forward copies of the marginally noted Corrspon letters together with the vernacular correspondence bearing on the subject and to request that the Dy Commr (with his good remarks) will be good enough to submit for the orders of Govt a revised ~~refund~~ bill in accordance with the final settlement of the Kodagi Inam as now reported

Docket No. 2049 of 6.9.99 from the Secy to Govt to the Supdt of Inam Settlements.
Memo from the Secy to Govt
Letter No. 25 of 19.9.99 from the Supdt of Inam Settlements. A Secy to Govt

reported by the Supdt of
Imam Settlements in his
letter No. 25 of 19. 9. 1899.

By Order

for Secretary

1935

ROOM NO. 1935

DATE 2-7-2005

Have the stamp affixed

Sect.

File No.

Serial No.

APR. 5 1990

Dy. Commr.

Addl. Dy. Commr.

Asstt. Commr.

Tehsildar

Naib Tehsildar

Concerning Locks-

24

1900

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

To

The Deputy Commr
Kolar Dt

~~8-7~~
~~38-98~~
9

Dated 4
Despatched 6- August/900
Received ✓

General No. Ket B
No. 88

Enclosure No.

The forwarding here-
-with petition No. 158 dated
24-4-00 with its one enclosure
received from one Venkata
-ramiah, Shanbhag of
Cooliga village in the Bowa-
-ningpet Taluk in re his
prayer for refund of the
Kandayam paid by him
in respect of his Kodagi
Inam lands, has the honor
to request that he will
be so good as to report
at an early date, the no: and
date of his reference made
to Govt, referred to in the
Enclosure produced by the petr.

By order

28-8 for Secretary

(E) to be obliged & re-
-turned

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—20-7-99.—602.

22

Kolar District Deputy Commissioners Office

Dated the 11th August 1900

Section 16 & 7
File No 38 98
Serial No 10

No.

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue and General Departments
Bangalore

Sir,

In returning herewith the petition of Venkataramanaiah, Shanbhouge of Origam Village received with your docket No. Pet 88 dated the 6th Current, I beg to state that the refund bill alluded to in the above, is the revised one to be Submitted for Sanction Vide your docket No. 3590 dated the 13th November 1899. Pl: 416 The revised refund bill will be submitted for Sanction, on its receipt from the Taluk, where, it is pending for Certain additional informations re the petitioner's Claim for a larger Sum than already decided.—

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

C Ramachandra Rao
for Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

Grain

23

Submitted with the
correspondence relating
with No 3590 dated 13.11.99
& Fol. 416
received from the Revenue
Branch (Financial)

21.8.00.

return petr that the
Govt has been requested
to expedite the orders
the refund bill

Send copy to Dy Com

Return the previous Cover
pondence to Rev Branch

Ag 22/8/00

Submitted
7.9.1900

Ord to petr
copy to DC
sent up
etc
29/8/00.

REVENUE DEPT
Gl. No. 1440
AUG 18 10 1900 1901

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue and General Departme

Dated 11/16th August 1900

Despatched 17th

Received

General No. 319

No. 54

Enclosure No. 1

Subject.

Returning the petition of
Venkatramanaiah, Shanbhog
of Oregam Village, and
stating that the refund
bill pertaining him will
be submitted on its receipt

R 18/8/00

Recd 20/8.

Rev.

Please put up the
papers quoted in them

All
20/8/00

Refer to the
Ws
21/8/00.

Dated 30/8/35

1935

23

on 4-9-35

No 83-E-8

By order

for Secretary

Pet 266 } 27/8/00 #

24

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Dy Com^r of Kolar with a request that he will be so good as to expedite the submission of the required bill referred to in his letter No. 319/54 dated 4th August 1900

G 7.

3 8 98

12

By Order

for Secretary

26

1909

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT.
OF MYSORE

5-7
38-98 To
13

Rev & Genl Depts

Vice Dy Commr
Kolar Dt

Dated 9/11
Despatched 11/11 July 1909
Received

General No. Pet
No. 80

Enclosure No.

His letter ~~This Office~~ No. 4419-20 dated
28th May 1908 700-1
promising to submit early
the refund bill in favour
of the Kodagrans of the
Oorigaum Village &c

~~and subsequent reminder marginally noted~~.

Has the honor to draw attention to the
above and to request an early ~~reply thereto~~. submission of the
refund bill mentioned therein

By Order,

for Under-Secretary.

9/7

27

1901

DEPARTMENT
R 246
AUG 17 1901
WAR OFFICE

Section 5 7 [illegible]

File No 38-98

Serial No 14

FROM The Deputy Commissioner Malabar Dist

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Revenue Depts

Dated 13th August 1901

Despatched 16th

Received

General No. 791

No. 126

Enclosure No. 6 in file

Submitting for sanction a refund order for R. 69-2-0 in favour of Kodagidars of the Koregaum Village

Cath
R N
1901

336

Respectfully Respectfully
All
21-8-01
W. 21/8/01
A Rs
27

Submitted with note in continuation
21/8/01

Kolar Deputy Commrs Office

Dated 13th August 1901.

From.

H. E. C. Carr Esquire

Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District

To H. V. Kuryundayya Esquire

M.A., M.L.

Secretary to the Government Mysore

Revenue and General Departts.

Sir

With reference to your cs docket No $\frac{\text{Pet}}{80}$ dated 11th July 1901, I have the honor to submit herewith for sanction a refund bill for Rs 69-2-0 in favor of the Kodagudars of the Oorugam village in the Bowringpet Talook, prepared in accordance with the instructions of the Superintendent

Superintendent of Inam Settle-
-ment conveyed with your
docket no 35-90/7.C.416 dated 13th November
1899

2. Venkataravaniah, one
of the Kodagidars, has petition-
-ed to this office praying that
the full assessment paid for
acres 6 — Guntas 31 of land as per Survey
minus the prescribed quit rent
may be refunded to the Kodagidars
on the following grounds

(1) That the Survey area of
Inam i.e acres 6 Guntas 31 tallies
with the extent shown in the
"Sidhavathi chittey

(2) That as the Kodagi Inamdar
is surrounded by different holde

on all sides, there is no room to encroach upon Government land.

(3) And that other Kodaga-dars, who were similarly enjoying Inamti in excess of the extent shewn in the grants or Title deeds have had the fortune of receiving back what was actually paid to Government minus the settled quit-rent, and the same Concession may now be shewn to them in this case also the Petition is filed with the records

3. But from the deposition of Venkatavaravanah taken on the 28th December 1898 filed with this

the records, it is seen that
did surreptitiously extend
Inam lands in the years
Bhava and yuva &c le
after the year Sidharthi
and pay the Kandayam
land thus encroached upo

4. As the points now
urged in the petition Cannot
relied upon at this distan
of time, I fully concur in
the settlement of the Inam
Superintendent to the effect
that 35 Guntas of land
be confirmed as Inam a
5 acres and 36 Guntas to be
treated as Khalsat out

In

maps nos 401 and 403 corresponding to the Survey extent of 6 acres 31 guntas assessed at Rs 39-4-0

5. The Vernacular records ending with the Treasury Officers yadi No 203 dated 23rd July 01. are herewith enclosed for reference and obliging return

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obt servant.

H S Ummegar
for Deputy Commissioner

33 Draft Act. 1901

Read

~~E-7~~

~~38-98~~

15

letter No: 791/126 & 13th July 1901 from the Dy Comr Kolar District

Submitting for Sanction a refund bill for Rs 69-2-0 in favour of Yellamma and other Kodagidars of Oorgam village, Bowringpet a portion of Talk, being the assessment on their Kodagi Inam which was resumed by the Govt and fully assessed in the year Bhava i.e. 1880-81, owing to the disrepair of the Kodagi tank, which Inam was finally settled by the Supdt of Inam Settlement as personal to be enjoyed in hereditary succession on payment of half quit-rent from the year 1896-97.

Order thereon

The refund bill

No 76 547-8 d 5/10-01

V.R.

7

3rd

is Sanctioned and forwarded
to the Comptroller for
audit.

H.D. Secretary 4/10/01
1/10/01

Secretary
21/9/04

To The Dy Comr of Kolar
returning the V.P

To The Comptroller, Mysore Govt
with bill

H.D
4/10/01